

## Deborah.Easterling

---

**From:** Jocelyn.Boyd  
**Sent:** Thursday, September 26, 2013 7:56 AM  
**To:** Deborah.Easterling  
**Cc:** davido@sunstoresolar.com; pmlgrnlw@yahoo.com; brian.franklin@duke-energy.com; timika.shafeek-horton@duke-energy.com; libbysmith@comcast.net; Edwards, Nanette; Hudson, Shannon; chad.burgess@scana.com; Bholman@selcsc.org; davido@sunstoresolar.com  
**Subject:** FW: Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

-----Original Message-----

From: Sierra Club [<mailto:information@sierraclub.org>] On Behalf Of M Parish  
Sent: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 11:38 AM  
To: Jocelyn.Boyd  
Subject: Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 25, 2013

Joceyln Boyd  
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100  
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Boyd,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

We need more affordable energy, cleaner air and water!

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Ms. M Parish  
2048 Kilkee Dr  
Myrtle Beach, SC 29579-6883

**Nina.Gates**

---

**From:** Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of M Parisher <s.parisher@att.net>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 25, 2013 11:38 AM  
**To:** PSC\_Commissioner.Whitfield  
**Subject:** Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 25, 2013

Swain Whitfield  
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100  
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Whitfield,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

We need more affordable energy, cleaner air and water!

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Ms. M Parisher  
2048 Kilkee Dr  
Myrtle Beach, SC 29579-6883

**Nina.Gates**

---

**From:** Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of M Parishier <s.parisher@att.net>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 25, 2013 11:38 AM  
**To:** PSC\_Commissioner.Hamilton  
**Subject:** Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 25, 2013

G. O'Neal Hamilton  
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100  
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Hamilton,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

We need more affordable energy, cleaner air and water!

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Ms. M Parishier  
2048 Kilkee Dr  
Myrtle Beach, SC 29579-6883

**Nina.Gates**

---

**From:** Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of M Parish <s.parisher@att.net>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 25, 2013 11:38 AM  
**To:** PSC\_Commissioner.Fleming  
**Subject:** Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 25, 2013

Elizabeth Fleming  
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100  
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Fleming,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

We need more affordable energy, cleaner air and water!

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Ms. M Parish  
2048 Kilkee Dr  
Myrtle Beach, SC 29579-6883

**Melissa.Purvis**

---

**From:** Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of M Parishier <s.parisher@att.net>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 25, 2013 11:38 AM  
**To:** PSC\_Commissioner.Hall  
**Subject:** Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 25, 2013

Nikiya Hall  
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100  
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Hall,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

We need more affordable energy, cleaner air and water!

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Ms. M Parishier  
2048 Kilkee Dr  
Myrtle Beach, SC 29579-6883

**Melissa.Purvis**

---

**From:** Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of M Parishier <s.parisher@att.net>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 25, 2013 11:38 AM  
**To:** PSC\_Commissioner.Randall  
**Subject:** Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 25, 2013

Comer Randall  
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100  
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Randall,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

We need more affordable energy, cleaner air and water!

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Ms. M Parishier  
2048 Kilkee Dr  
Myrtle Beach, SC 29579-6883

**Melissa.Purvis**

---

**From:** Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of M Parishier <s.parisher@att.net>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 25, 2013 11:38 AM  
**To:** PSC\_Commissioner.Howard  
**Subject:** Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 25, 2013

John Howard  
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100  
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Howard,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

We need more affordable energy, cleaner air and water!

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Ms. M Parishier  
2048 Kilkee Dr  
Myrtle Beach, SC 29579-6883